RESEARCH

Open Access

Trends in induction of labour and associated co-morbidities and demographics in Queensland, Australia from 2001 to 2020: a population-based study



Nigel Lee¹, Emma Ballard² and Tracy Humphrey^{3*}

Abstract

Background Amongst women who plan a vaginal birth at term, previous studies have reported that rates of induction of labour are increasing potentially impacting other labour and birth outcomes. Indications for induction of labour (IOL) have changed over time though the influences of parity and demographic factors such as age, ethnicity and regionality are not often considered. The aim of this study was to describe the changes in demographic, co-morbidity, IOL indication and clinical outcomes in women undertaking a planned cephalic vaginal birth at term over a 20 year period.

Methods A retrospective population-based study was undertaken using routinely collected anonymised perinatal data from Queensland, Australia from January 2001 to December 2020. We included all singleton term (≥ 37 weeks) planned vaginal births. A total of 836,065 births met the study criteria. Data for pregnancy complications and IOL indications were grouped by ICD-10 codes. Analysis was stratified by parity and presented as frequency and percentages over time and the difference in percentages between two defined years.

Results Rates of IOL increased by 15.5% (31.6 to 47.1%) in nulliparous and 14.6% (26.2 to 40.8% in multiparous women, most notable from 2015 onwards. Over the same period infants born between 37 and 38 weeks gestation increased by 13.9%. (18.1–32%). Amongst co-morbidities gestational diabetes increased from 3.8 to 12.8% and anaemia from 1.7 to 8.1%. As an indication for IOL prolonged pregnancy decreased from 41.0 to 11.2%. In nulliparous women the percentage of intact perineum decreased from 21.3 to 6.7% while episiotomy increased from 20.2 to 38.8%.

Conclusions We conclude that for women planning a vaginal birth not only has the rate of IOL increased substantially over the last two decades there also appears to be considerable interaction between demographic, co-morbidity, IOL indications and clinical outcomes that warrants further large population-based research.

Keywords Vaginal birth, Induction of labour, Parity, Population trends

*Correspondence: Tracy Humphrey Tracy.Humphrey@unisa.edu.au ¹School of Nursing, Midwifery and Social Work, The University of Queensland, Level 3 Chamberlain Building, St Lucia, QLD 4072, Australia



South Australia, Australia

²QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Herston, QLD, Australia

³Clinical and Health Sciences, University of South Australia, Adelaide,

© The Author(s) 2025. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

Introduction

Planned cephalic vaginal birth at term, following either spontaneous onset or induced labour, is the safest and most cost effective mode of birth [1, 2]. Compared to elective caesarean section, planned vaginal birth is associated with a lower risk of short term complications such as infection and haemorrhage, and adverse outcomes in subsequent pregnancies [3]. While planned vaginal birth is undertaken by the majority of women and birthing persons, internationally the rates have decreased over time as elective caesarean section (CS) increases [4, 5]. Similarly rates of induction of labour (IOL) have also increased resulting in an overall decrease in spontaneous labour onset [6].

The decrease in spontaneous onset of labour as a percentage of planned vaginal birth may be due to changes in policy, clinical and societal factors over the years. A number of studies have cited changes in the main indications for IOL over time [7-9]. However these changes in indication are not consistent across studies or countries. An examination of IOL trends over 20 years in Iceland reported rises in IOL for gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) and prolonged pregnancy, whereas a single site study from Australia reported a decrease in IOL for prolonged pregnancy and an increase for decreased fetal movements over a five year period [7, 8]. Both studies reported a rise in elective IOL, that is, IOL that does not have a clear obstetric or medical indication but may be influenced by other factors that would not otherwise exclude a spontaneous onset of labour. Shifting demographic factors such as maternal age, ethnicity and regionality may also be independent factors in changes in the distribution of IOL and spontaneous labour within planned vaginal birth over time [8, 10, 11].

Exploring trends in demographic characteristics and clinical indicators longitudinally can provide insights into the factors influencing variations in birth outcomes. While nulliparous and multiparous women are both exposed to co-morbidities and IOL there exists the potential for difference in outcomes. Differences between nulliparous and multiparous women's experience of IOL have also been reported [12]. However, previous authors have noted that the reporting of labour and birth outcomes in population based studies of IOL are often not stratified by parity [13, 14]. This approach to amalgamate parity and other variables contributes to the difficulties in reporting trends, in birth outcomes for women who plan a vaginal birth at term with either a spontaneous or induced onset.

The aim of this study was to describe the changes in demographic, co-morbidity, IOL indication and present trends stratified by parity in clinical outcomes in women undertaking a planned cephalic vaginal birth at term over a 20 year period.

Methods

A retrospective population-based study was undertaken using routinely collected perinatal data from Queensland, Australia from January 2001 to December 2020. Queensland is geographically the second largest State in Australia and accounts for approximately 20% of the Australian population. All Queensland hospitals (state and private) contribute perinatal data via the Perinatal Data Collection (PDC) form for each birth. The PDC records data on basic demographics, previous pregnancies and outcomes, pre-existing and pregnancy related co-morbidities, labour, birth, postnatal and neonatal outcomes. Common medical conditions and pregnancy complications are pre-defined on the form with free text boxes capturing any conditions not listed. Indications for IOL are entered as free text. This data is then coded to International Classification of Diseases, 10th revision (ICD-10) codes by the Statistical Services Branch (SSB) of the Queensland Health Department.

The anonymised dataset used for this study included all women with a singleton, cephalic presentation at term who planned a labour and vaginal birth with either spontaneous onset or IOL. Data for women with multiple pregnancies, preterm labour (<37 weeks), planned caesarean section, malpresentation or stillbirth were not included. Some women would have recorded more than one pregnancy during the study period and therefore maybe represented more than once in the dataset.

Ethnicity was based on Australian Standard Classification of Countries for Social Statistics (ASCCSS) from January 2001 to June 2001 and from July 2001 onwards on the Standard Australian Classification of Countries (SACC). Country of Birth (CoB) was grouped into the five largest population groups in Australia; Australian, United Kingdom, India, China and New Zealand. All other CoB data was grouped as "Other".

The PDC provides for a main and two sub indications for IOL, only the main indication was used in the study. Data for pregnancy complications and IOL indications were provided separately by the SSB with data as ICD 10 codes. We grouped data for all IOLs into the following categories: Prolonged pregnancy (41 weeks or more), diabetes (pre-existing and gestational), reduced fetal movements, Hypertension (including gestational, pre-eclampsia, eclampsia and HELLP), large for gestational age (LGA), small for gestational age (SGA), non-obstetric medical, obstetric medical, Labour complication, Fetal indication, advanced maternal age, psychosocial and elective. For outcomes such as LGA and SGA we are not able to define specific criteria as the ICD-10 codes provided are based on free text responses on the PDC form to a question regarding the main indication for induction. Therefore, the definition of LGA and SGA is open to the interpretation of the clinician initiating the induction or completing the form. The categorisation of specific ICD 10 codes in presented in Additional file 1. Data were reported in two calendar year periods from 1st January of the first year to 31st December of the second.

Data analysis was undertaken with Stata v14.1 (Stat-Corp, College Station, TX) and Microsoft Excel v2108. Categorical data is presented as frequency and percentage (%) for each two-year time period. Clinical outcomes are reported stratified by parity. For ease of interpretation, the difference in percentages between each specified two-year time point and 2001-2002 are presented for all variables in Additional file 2. Multivariable logistic regression models were used to examine IOL with the unadjusted model containing a fixed effect for year as a categorical variable and the adjusted model containing fixed effects for year, for private obstetrician, gestation, BMI 35 or over (All variables with reference category: No), country of birth (Reference category: Australia), maternal age (Reference category: 16 or younger) and regionality based on Australian Statistical Geography Standard Edition 3 (Reference category: major city). Residuals were examined. Predicted unadjusted and adjusted probabilities with 95% confidence intervals (CI) are plotted and presented in Additional file 3.

Results

Population demographics

Between January 2001 and December 2020, 836,065 births met the study criteria of live, term, singleton cephalic. Compared to 2001/2002 the number of teenage births more than halved from 7.3 to 3.3% in 2019/2020 and births to women over 35 years of age increased from 13.2 to 18.2%. The percentage of births occurring between 37 and 38 weeks gestation increased 13.9% over the study period while rates of post-term birth (41 weeks or more) decreased. Diversity of CoB increased during the study period with planned vaginal births to women from India increasing from 0.3% (2001/2002) to 4.4% (2019/2020). The majority of women received shared care through a General Practitioner (GP) or public hospital clinic with the percentage of women seeking antenatal care from a private obstetrician decreasing steadily over the 20 years (-12.6%) (Table 1; Additional file 2).

A number of co-morbidities demonstrated substantial change over the study period. Most notable a diagnosis of diabetes increased from 3.8% in 2001/2002 to 12.8% in 2019/2020. Mental health diagnosis increased from 3.1 to 10.6% and anaemia from 2.3 to 8.1%. The increased rates for diabetes and anaemia were more prominent after 2015–2016. Prolonged pregnancy as a recorded co-morbidity decreased from 13.5 to 5.9% (Table 2).

Changes in induction of labour

Substantial changes in a number of indications for IOL across the study period are illustrated in Table 3. A number of these reflect the changes in co-morbidities. The rate of IOL for prolonged pregnancy fell 29.4% from 40.6% in 2001/2002 to 11.2% in 2019/2020. Rates for Hypertension IOL also fell 5.4%. In contrary rates of IOL for Diabetes increased 10.9%, Reduced fetal movements increased 8.6% and LGA by 7.7%. Changes appeared to accelerate from 2015 to 2016 onwards for each of these variables. While accounting for a relatively small proportion of IOL, rates for advanced maternal age demonstrated the largest percentage increase across the study period from 0.6 to 3.6% and doubling from 1.8% in 2015-2016. Rates of elective IOL peaked at 20.7% in 2011-2012 then decreased to 15.2% in 2019-2021. However, in 2019/2020 elective IOL is proportionally the most common indication for IOL (15.2%) followed by diabetes (14.4%) (Table 3; Additional file 2).

Changes in birth outcomes by parity

For both nulliparous and multiparous women, the percentage of IOL increased from 31.4% in 2001/2002 to 43.6% in 2019/2020. Over the same period the use of epidural or spinal analgesia increased from 25.7 to 41.1%. Unassisted vaginal birth decreased from 77.8 to 70.5% with assisted births increasing from 9.9% in 2001/2002 to 13.3% in 2013/2014 with a reduced increment to 13.9% in 2019/2020. A similar trend is noted in the percentage of CS which increased from 9.0% in 2001/2002 to 14.4% in 2007/2008 and plateaued (14.0 - 14.7%) until 2019/2020 where the rate increased to 15.5%.

The rate of IOL In nulliparous women increased by 15.5% (31.6 to 47.1%) and 14.6% (26.2 to 40.8%). in multiparous women In both groups the rate of increase was most notable from 2015 to 2016 onwards (Tables 4 and 5, Additional file 2). Following regression analysis the adjusted predicted probability values with 95% confidence intervals for IOL and yearly pattern were similar to those seen for the unadjusted model (Additional file 3). The number of nulliparous women utilising epidural or spinal analgesia during labour increased 18% from 39.3% in 2001–2002 to 57.3% in 2019–2020. The use of regional analgesia

Table 1 Demo	graphic characte	ristics of wome	en planning a vi	aginal birth in C	Queensland 200	01-2020					
Patient	Total	2001-2002	2003-2004	2005-2006	2007-2008	2009-2010	2011-2012	2013-2014	2015-2016	2017-2018	2019–2020
characteristics	N=836,065	N=73,931	N=73,680	N=80,003	N=87,002	N=88,289	N=89,101	N=89,860	N=87,644	N=84,235	N=82,320
Maternal age											
<19	47,010 (5.6%)	5,397 (7.3%)	5,158 (7.0%)	5,212 (6.5%)	5,721 (6.6%)	5,689 (6.4%)	5,350 (6.0%)	4,812 (5.4%)	3,774 (4.3%)	3,142 (3.7%)	2,755 (3.3%)
20-29	406,939 (48.7%)	37,599 (50.9%)	36,031 (48.9%)	38,857 (48.6%)	42,599 (49.0%)	43,729 (49.5%)	44,352 (49.8%)	43,993 (49.0%)	42,135 (48.1%)	39,800 (47.2%)	37,844 (46.0%)
30-34	247,874 (29.6%)	21,181 (28.6%)	21,950 (29.8%)	23,589 (29.5%)	24,323 (28.0%)	24,211 (27.4%)	24,974 (28.0%)	26,806 (29.8%)	27,557 (31.4%)	26,555 (31.5%)	26,728 (32.5%)
35+	134,242 (16.1%)	9,754 (13.2%)	10,541 (14.3%)	12,345 (15.4%)	14,359 (16.5%)	14,660 (16.6%)	14,425 (16.2%)	14,249 (15.9%)	14,178 (16.2%)	14,738 (17.5%)	14,993 (18.2%)
Gestation (week.	(s										
37–38	196,906 (23.6%)	13,416 (18.1%)	14,214 (19.3%)	15,648 (19.6%)	17,226 (19.8%)	18,326 (20.8%)	20,017 (22.5%)	21,776 (24.2%)	23,841 (27.2%)	26,105 (31.0%)	26,337 (32.0%)
39-40	501,001 (59.9%)	45,753 (61.9%)	45,892 (62.3%)	50,289 (62.9%)	53,916 (62.0%)	54,009 (61.2%)	53,500 (60.0%)	53,010 (59.0%)	50,592 (57.7%)	47,528 (56.4%)	46,512 (56.5%)
41+	138,158 (16.5%)	14,762 (20.0%)	13,574 (18.4%)	14,066 (17.6%)	15,860 (18.2%)	15,954 (18.1%)	15,584 (17.5%)	15,074 (16.8%)	13,211 (15.1%)	10,602 (12.6%)	9,471 (11.5%)
Country of birth											
Australia	644,879 (77.1%)	62,088 (84.0%)	61,212 (83.1%)	66,045 (82.6%)	70,317 (80.8%)	68,347 (77.4%)	67,570 (75.8%)	66,757 (74.3%)	63,842 (72.8%)	59,977 (71.2%)	58,724 (71.3%)
UK	20,180 (2.4%)	1,937 (2.6%)	1,824 (2.5%)	1,872 (2.3%)	2,106 (2.4%)	2,219 (2.5%)	2,130 (2.4%)	2,001 (2.2%)	2,107 (2.4%)	1,987 (2.4%)	1,997 (2.4%)
India	18,289 (2.2%)	258 (0.3%)	325 (0.4%)	391 (0.5%)	692 (0.8%)	1,703 (1.9%)	2,151 (2.4%)	2,605 (2.9%)	3,075 (3.5%)	3,491 (4.1%)	3,598 (4.4%)
China	12,201 (1.5%)	536 (0.7%)	471 (0.6%)	547 (0.7%)	731 (0.8%)	1,021 (1.2%)	1,440 (1.6%)	1,752 (1.9%)	1,940 (2.2%)	1,961 (2.3%)	1,802 (2.2%)
ZN	45,702 (5.5%)	3,174 (4.3%)	3,355 (4.6%)	3,825 (4.8%)	4,670 (5.4%)	5,320 (6.0%)	5,385 (6.0%)	5,444 (6.1%)	4,977 (5.7%)	4,953 (5.9%)	4,599 (5.6%)
Other	94,814 (11.3%)	5,938 (8.0%)	6,493 (8.8%)	7,323 (9.2%)	8,486 (9.8%)	9,679 (11.0%)	10,425 (11.7%)	11,301 (12.6%)	11,703 (13.4%)	11,866 (14.1%)	11,600 (14.1%)
Region											
Major city	547,004 (65.4%)	46,087 (62.3%)	46,905 (63.7%)	51,138 (63.9%)	55,970 (64.3%)	57,513 (65.1%)	58,194 (65.3%)	58,837 (65.5%)	58,741 (67.0%)	57,517 (68.3%)	56,102 (68.2%)
Inner regional	155,208 (18.6%)	14,263 (19.3%)	13,839 (18.8%)	15,251 (19.1%)	16,502 (19.0%)	16,515 (18.7%)	16,886 (19.0%)	16,887 (18.8%)	15,836 (18.1%)	14,652 (17.4%)	14,577 (17.7%)
Outer regional/	133,853 (16.0%)	13,581 (18.4%)	12,936 (17.6%)	13,614 (17.0%)	14,530 (16.7%)	14,261 (16.2%)	14,021 (15.7%)	14,136 (15.7%)	13,067 (14.9%)	12,066 (14.3%)	11,641 (14.1%)
remote											
Indigenous status $(n = 835,916)$	52,775 (6.3%)	4,194 (5.7%)	4,253 (5.8%)	4,550 (5.7%)	4,960 (5.7%)	5,140 (5.8%)	5,584 (6.3%)	5,712 (6.4%)	6,018 (6.9%)	6,009 (7.1%)	6,355 (7.7%)
Model of Care (n)	=833,656)										
Public hospital	338,205 (40.6%)	22,563 (30.6%)	24,801 (33.8%)	29,264 (36.7%)	41,371 (47.7%)	43,128 (49.0%)	40,156 (45.2%)	36,782 (41.1%)	34,290 (39.2%)	34,057 (40.5%)	31,793 (38.7%)
GP shared care	283,573 (34.0%)	28,379 (38.5%)	27,016 (36.8%)	28,313 (35.5%)	21,839 (25.2%)	21,630 (24.6%)	26,068 (29.3%)	30,355 (33.9%)	32,921 (37.7%)	32,403 (38.6%)	34,649 (42.2%)
Private	205,882 (24.7%)	22,373 (30.4%)	21,434 (29.2%)	22,106 (27.7%)	23,297 (26.9%)	23,047 (26.2%)	22,333 (25.1%)	21,494 (24.0%)	18,820 (21.5%)	16,377 (19.5%)	14,601 (17.8%)
Obstetrician											
Private Midwife	5,996 (0.7%)	345 (0.5%)	175 (0.2%)	106 (0.1%)	198 (0.2%)	258 (0.3%)	310 (0.3%)	951 (1.1%)	1,386 (1.6%)	1,202 (1.4%)	1,065 (1.3%)
Table is n (%)											

for multiparous women also increased 12.8% over the study period (Table 4, Additional file 2).

The percentage of unassisted vaginal birth in nulliparous women decreased 10.6–51.9%. This resulted in a 6.6% increase in assisted births and 4.0% increase in CS. In multiparous women unassisted vaginal birth also decreased 4.0% with an increase of 2.4% in CS and 1.6% in assisted birth (Tables 4 and 5, Additional file 2).

The rate of intact perineum in nulliparous women fell 14.6% from 21.3% in 2001–2002 to 6.7% in 2019– 2020 while over the same period episiotomy use increased 18.6% from 20.2 to 38.8%. Severe perineal trauma (3rd and 4th degree) peaked at 4.8% in 2011– 2012 before declining by 2019–2021 to the same level as 2001–2002 (3.4%) (Table 4; Additional file 2). A similar trend was noted in multiparous women where intact perineum rates fell 15.9%. This was largely reflected in increases in 2nd degree injury of 11.4% and episiotomy (1.7%). The incidence of severe perineal injury was stable over the study period and largely unchanged since 2011–2012 (1.1 – 1.2%) (Table 5; Additional file 2).

Discussion

This retrospective study illustrated a number of demographic and clinical changes in the population of women planning a vaginal birth over a 20 year period. Notably our study found substantial increases in IOL in both nulliparous and multiparous women. The number of babies born between 37 and 38 weeks gestation also increased. There were also substantial changes to the rates of IOL for particular indications such as diabetes and prolonged labour.

Changes in ethnic diversity reflect those in the Australia population more broadly, specifically the growth the Indian diaspora [15]. Whilst variations in indications for IOL may be more reflective of changes in clinical management of co-morbidities such as diabetes [16] and clinician attitudes to IOL in response to more recent research [17]. However a notable finding of the study is that for a number of IOL and clinical variables the rate of change appears to accelerate from the 2013/14–2015/2016 period. This phenomenon was also noted in a 20 year trend analysis of IOL in Iceland, though the data in this study was reported in five to six year intervals [8].

Prolonged pregnancy demonstrated a substantial reduction in both reported co-morbidity and indication of IOL over the study period. This was also noted in previous studies of a similar population, however that data was limited to 2015 to 2020 [7]. It is contrary to the trend data from Iceland that noted an increase in IOL for prolonged pregnancy [8]. The publication of RCTs supporting IOL to avoid complications of prolonged pregnancy [17–19] and the subsequent noted change in practice in some studies towards increased IOL rates at 39 weeks [20] may be a contributing factor to the noted decline in prolonged pregnancy. This would only be the case if IOL occurred prior to 41 weeks to avoid reaching that gestation and the indication was coded to another co-morbidity. It is noteworthy that in the trend data the decline in IOL for prolonged pregnancy appears to accelerate in the 2009-2010 period (-6.4%) with similar percentage reduction up until 2017-2018. This would seem to precede any significant change in research, practice or clinician attitude towards prolonged pregnancy. The period 2009-2010 to 2017-2018 does coincide with an accelerated increase in the rates of IOL for diabetes. It may be that the reduction in IOL numbers for prolonged pregnancy are related to increased earlier induction for other co-morbidities such as diabetes.

IOL for diabetes increased over four-fold during the study period despite gestational diabetes not being a specific or routine indication for IOL in either the Queensland or international guidelines [21]. While a number of authors have attributed some causation to this increase to changes in diagnostic thresholds ratified in Australia in 2014 [22, 23] other studies have found little or no influence [24] or highlight changes in demographics such as ethnicity and regionality as contributing factors [22]. Our study data indicates that the Indian diaspora population recorded the largest increase of all main Australian population groups. Women of South Asian ethnic groups, inclusive of India, are at a higher risk of diabetes independent of other risk factors such as raised BMI [24, 25]. Other studies have suggested that older age and residing in regional and remote areas also contributes to a risk in diabetes. In this study the pecentage of women in the 35 years or older age group did increase over time, though residency in a regional or remote area decreased after the 2025-2016 period. This suggests that the interaction between demographic influences on IOL is likely to be quite complex.

Clinician concern over risk of macrosomia often associated with diabetes in pregnancy may also be influential on the doubling of the rate of IOL for LGA seen in the dataset. Again, this occurred predominantly after the 2015–2016 time period. While most guidelines do not recommend IOL for macrosomia explicitly, the clinical guidelines for the State in which the study population resides does, based on weight at gestation criteria. Specifically 3500 g at 36 weeks, 3700 at 37 weeks and 3800 g at 38 weeks [26]. However such an approach relies on estimation of fetal weight by ultrasound and the potential for significant variations

	Total	2001-2002	2003–2004	2005- 2006	2007-2008	2009–2010	2011-2012	2013-2014	2015-2016	2017-2018	2019-2020
	N= 836,065	N=73,931	N=73,680	N= 80,003	N=87,002	N=88,289	N= 89,101	N= 89,860	N=87,644	N=84,235	N=82,320
Hypertension	39,799 (4.8%)	4,913 (6.6%)	4,389 (6.0%)	4,148 (5.2%)	4,132 (4.7%)	3,787 (4.3%)	3,501 (3.9%)	3,355 (3.7%)	3,579 (4.1%)	4,078 (4.8%)	3,917 (4.8%)
Gestational diabetes	60,048 (7.2%)	2,774 (3.8%)	3,167 (4.3%)	3,644 (4.6%)	3,954 (4.5%)	4,381 (5.0%)	5,347 (6.0%)	6,648 (7.4%)	9,164 (10.5%)	10,436 (12.4%)	10,533 (12.8%)
Liver disease	5,042 (0.6%)	37 (0.1%)	87 (0.1%)	301 (0.4%)	404 (0.5%)	373 (0.4%)	456 (0.5%)	604 (0.7%)	1,013 (1.2%)	1,030 (1.2%)	737 (0.9%)
Term premature rupture of membranes	28,236 (3.4%)	1,813 (2.5%)	1,776 (2.4%)	2,053 (2.6%)	2,670 (3.1%)	3,084 (3.5%)	3,222 (3.6%)	3,455 (3.8%)	3,504 (4.0%)	3,326 (3.9%)	3,333 (4.0%)
Anaemia	26,268 (3.1%)	1,688 (2.3%)	1,362 (1.8%)	1,358 (1.7%)	1,670 (1.9%)	1,894 (2.1%)	1,723 (1.9%)	1,736 (1.9%)	2,569 (2.9%)	5,606 (6.7%)	6,662 (8.1%)
Mental health	39,000 (4.7%)	2,260 (3.1%)	1,770 (2.4%)	1,864 (2.3%)	2,307 (2.7%)	2,749 (3.1%)	3,183 (3.6%)	4,041 (4.5%)	5,221 (6.0%)	6,908 (8.2%)	8,697 (10.6%)
Antepartum haemorrhage	13,887 (1.7%)	1,612 (2.2%)	1,230 (1.7%)	1,173 (1.5%)	1,155 (1.3%)	1,368 (1.5%)	1,283 (1.4%)	1,389 (1.5%)	1,445 (1.6%)	1,628 (1.9%)	1,604 (1.9%)
Prolonged pregnancy	80,512 (9.6%)	10,010 (13.5%)	9,485 (12.9%)	10,150 (12.7%)	10,491 (12.1%)	8,946 (10.1%)	8,057 (9.0%)	7,086 (7.9%)	6,191 (7.1%)	5,248 (6.2%)	4,848 (5.9%)
Data is n (%) Table 3 Trends in indice	tions for induct	ion of labour 2	001-2020								
	Total	2001-2002	2003-2004	2005-2006	2007-2008	2009-2010	2011-2012	2013-2014	2015-2016	2017-2018	2019-2020
	N=276,501	<i>N</i> =23,230	N=22,494	N= 23,910	N=25,259	N=25,212	N=26,434	N=28,132	N=30,967	N=34,912	N=35,951
Prolonged pregnancy	74,509 (27.0%)	9,422 (40.6%)	9,213 (41.0%)	9,798 (41.0%)	10,071 (39.9%)	8,449 (33.5%)	7,157 (27.1%)	6,501 (23.1%)	5,468 (17.7%)	4,392 (12.6%)	4,038 (11.2%)
Diabetes	25,928 (9.4%)	806 (3.5%)	870 (3.9%)	1,208 (5.1%)	1,628 (6.4%)	1,743 (6.9%)	2,248 (8.5%)	2,952 (10.5%)	4,205 (13.6%)	5,084 (14.6%)	5,184 (14.4%)
Reduced fetal movements	10,418 (3.8%)	299 (1.3%)	317 (1.4%)	315 (1.3%)	232 (0.9%)	196 (0.8%)	377 (1.4%)	668 (2.4%)	1,298 (4.2%)	3,149 (9.0%)	3,567 (9.9%)
Hypertension	26,183 (9.5%)	2,931 (12.6%)	2,709 (12.0%)	2,576 (10.8%)	2,578 (10.2%)	2,480 (9.8%)	2,510 (9.5%)	2,504 (8.9%)	2,606 (8.4%)	2,712 (7.8%)	2,577 (7.2%)
TPROM	28,738 (10.4%)	2,690 (11.6%)	2,577 (11.5%)	2,522 (10.5%)	2,979 (11.8%)	3,067 (12.2%)	3,016 (11.4%)	3,126 (11.1%)	3,057 (9.9%)	2,914 (8.3%)	2,790 (7.8%)
Large for gestational age	11,456 (4.1%)	418 (1.8%)	415 (1.8%)	488 (2.0%)	541 (2.1%)	532 (2.1%)	674 (2.5%)	789 (2.8%)	1,458 (4.7%)	2,730 (7.8%)	3,411 (9.5%)

	Total	2001-2002	2003-2004	2005-2006	2007-2008	2009-2010	2011-2012	2013-2014	2015-2016	2017-2018	2019-2020
	N=276,501	N= 23,230	N=22,494	N=23,910	N=25,259	N=25,212	N=26,434	N=28,132	N=30,967	N=34,912	N=35,951
Prolonged pregnancy	74,509 (27.0%)	9,422 (40.6%)	9,213 (41.0%)	9,798 (41.0%)	10,071 (39.9%)	8,449 (33.5%)	7,157 (27.1%)	6,501 (23.1%)	5,468 (17.7%)	4,392 (12.6%)	4,038 (11.2%)
Diabetes	25,928 (9.4%)	806 (3.5%)	870 (3.9%)	1,208 (5.1%)	1,628 (6.4%)	1,743 (6.9%)	2,248 (8.5%)	2,952 (10.5%)	4,205 (13.6%)	5,084 (14.6%)	5,184 (14.4%)
Reduced fetal movements	10,418 (3.8%)	299 (1.3%)	317 (1.4%)	315 (1.3%)	232 (0.9%)	196 (0.8%)	377 (1.4%)	668 (2.4%)	1,298 (4.2%)	3,149 (9.0%)	3,567 (9.9%)
Hypertension	26,183 (9.5%)	2,931 (12.6%)	2,709 (12.0%)	2,576 (10.8%)	2,578 (10.2%)	2,480 (9.8%)	2,510 (9.5%)	2,504 (8.9%)	2,606 (8.4%)	2,712 (7.8%)	2,577 (7.2%)
TPROM	28,738 (10.4%)	2,690 (11.6%)	2,577 (11.5%)	2,522 (10.5%)	2,979 (11.8%)	3,067 (12.2%)	3,016 (11.4%)	3,126 (11.1%)	3,057 (9.9%)	2,914 (8.3%)	2,790 (7.8%)
Large for gestational age	11,456 (4.1%)	418 (1.8%)	415 (1.8%)	488 (2.0%)	541 (2.1%)	532 (2.1%)	674 (2.5%)	789 (2.8%)	1,458 (4.7%)	2,730 (7.8%)	3,411 (9.5%)
Small for gestational age	13,911 (5.0%)	913 (3.9%)	831 (3.7%)	847 (3.5%)	814 (3.2%)	997 (4.0%)	1,174 (4.4%)	1,459 (5.2%)	1,985 (6.4%)	2,467 (7.1%)	2,424 (6.7%)
Non obstetric medical	7,449 (2.7%)	562 (2.4%)	510 (2.3%)	544 (2.3%)	600 (2.4%)	764 (3.0%)	622 (2.4%)	746 (2.7%)	793 (2.6%)	1,140 (3.3%)	1,168 (3.2%)
Obstetric medical	14,435 (5.2%)	1,030 (4.4%)	1,070 (4.8%)	1,230 (5.1%)	1,298 (5.1%)	1,208 (4.8%)	1,420 (5.4%)	1,567 (5.6%)	1,807 (5.8%)	1,928 (5.5%)	1,877 (5.2%)
Labour complication	6,168 (2.2%)	650 (2.8%)	345 (1.5%)	307 (1.3%)	355 (1.4%)	781 (3.1%)	542 (2.1%)	637 (2.3%)	770 (2.5%)	912 (2.6%)	869 (2.4%)
Fetal indication	8,775 (3.2%)	567 (2.4%)	440 (2.0%)	500 (2.1%)	571 (2.3%)	664 (2.6%)	885 (3.3%)	1,151 (4.1%)	1,292 (4.2%)	1,337 (3.8%)	1,368 (3.8%)
Advanced maternal age	5,103 (1.8%)	148 (0.6%)	110 (0.5%)	142 (0.6%)	165 (0.7%)	188 (0.7%)	218 (0.8%)	509 (1.8%)	976 (3.2%)	1,335 (3.8%)	1,312 (3.6%)
Elective IOL	42,829 (15.5%)	2,786 (12.0%)	3,052 (13.6%)	3,408 (14.3%)	3,332 (13.2%)	4,042 (16.0%)	5,483 (20.7%)	5,382 (19.1%)	5,114 (16.5%)	4,782 (13.7%)	5,448 (15.2%)
Psychosocial	648 (0.2%)	56 (0.2%)	57 (0.3%)	70 (0.3%)	90 (0.4%)	81 (0.3%)	73 (0.3%)	64 (0.2%)	29 (0.1%)	58 (0.2%)	70 (0.2%)
	36,259 (7.7%)	3,603 (8.7%)	3,604 (8.6%)	3,755 (8.2%)	4,142 (8.4%)	4,192 (8.5%)	4,187 (8.5%)	3,867 (7.8%)	3,440 (7.0%)	2,850 (6.0%)	2,619 (5.8%)
Data is n (%)											

Table 4 Trenc	ts in clinical outco	omes for nullip.	arous planned v	vaginal births 20	001-2020						
	Total	2001-2002	2003-2004	2005-2006	2007-2008	2009-2010	2011-2012	2013-2014	2015-2016	2017-2018	2019-2020
	N=367,894	N= 32,462	N=31,773	N=34,187	N=37,564	N=38,917	N=39,703	N=40,326	N=38,766	N=37,111	N=37,085
IOL	134,156 (36.5%)	11,078 (34.1%)	10,645 (33.5%)	11,351 (33.2%)	12,201 (32.5%)	12,291 (31.6%)	13,116 (33.0%)	14,108 (35.0%)	15,156 (39.1%)	16,736 (45.1%)	17,474 (47.1%)
Spontaneous onset of labour	233,738 (63.5%)	21,384 (65.9%)	21,128 (66.5%)	22,836 (66.8%)	25,363 (67.5%)	26,626 (68.4%)	26,587 (67.0%)	26,218 (65.0%)	23,610 (60.9%)	20,375 (54.9%)	19,611 (52.9%)
Augmentation $(n = 233,738)$	119,790 (51.2%)	11,372 (53.2%)	11,383 (53.9%)	11,880 (52.0%)	13,302 (52.4%)	13,356 (50.2%)	12,983 (48.8%)	12,893 (49.2%)	12,144 (51.4%)	10,678 (52.4%)	9,799 (50.0%)
Epidural analgesia Mode of birth	171,602 (46.6%)	12,770 (39.3%)	13,056 (41.1%)	14,471 (42.3%)	16,214 (43.2%)	17,012 (43.7%)	18,040 (45.4%)	19,213 (47.6%)	19,376 (50.0%)	20,207 (54.5%)	21,243 (57.3%)
Unassisted vaginal birth	205,203 (55.8%)	20,286 (62.5%)	19,152 (60.3%)	19,963 (58.4%)	21,056 (56.1%)	21,635 (55.6%)	21,713 (54.7%)	22,006 (54.6%)	20,884 (53.9%)	19,263 (51.9%)	19,245 (51.9%)
Assisted vaginal birth	81,447 (22.1%)	5,800 (17.9%)	5,939 (18.7%)	6,552 (19.2%)	7,890 (21.0%)	8,715 (22.4%)	9,071 (22.8%)	9,599 (23.8%)	9,568 (24.7%)	9,233 (24.9%)	9,080 (24.5%)
Caesarean section	81,244 (22.1%)	6,376 (19.6%)	6,682 (21.0%)	7,672 (22.4%)	8,618 (22.9%)	8,567 (22.0%)	8,919 (22.5%)	8,721 (21.6%)	8,314 (21.4%)	8,615 (23.2%)	8,760 (23.6%)
Perineal status (/	7 = 286,526)										
Intact	37,338 (13.0%)	5,562 (21.3%)	5,130 (20.4%)	5,195 (19.6%)	4,521 (15.6%)	3,730 (12.3%)	3,678 (12.0%)	3,212 (10.2%)	2,465 (8.1%)	1,942 (6.8%)	1,903 (6.7%)
1 st degree	68,635 (24.0%)	6,824 (26.2%)	6,230 (24.8%)	6,545 (24.7%)	7,647 (26.4%)	8,401 (27.7%)	8,008 (26.0%)	7,406 (23.4%)	6,679 (21.9%)	5,672 (19.9%)	5,223 (18.5%)
2nd degree	90,533 (31.6%)	7,503 (28.8%)	7,083 (28.2%)	7,783 (29.4%)	9,006 (31.1%)	9,889 (32.6%)	10,029 (32.6%)	10,566 (33.4%)	10,229 (33.6%)	9,215 (32.4%)	9,230 (32.6%)
3rd /4th	11,634 (4.1%)	933 (3.6%)	909 (3.6%)	1,011 (3.8%)	1,285 (4.4%)	1,353 (4.5%)	1,469 (4.8%)	1,422 (4.5%)	1,212 (4.0%)	1,064 (3.7%)	976 (3.4%)
degree											
Episiotomy	78,386 (27.4%)	5,264 (20.2%)	5,739 (22.9%)	5,981 (22.6%)	6,485 (22.4%)	6,959 (22.9%)	7,572 (24.6%)	8,989 (28.5%)	9,845 (32.4%)	10,576 (37.1%)	10,976 (38.8%)
Infant birth weight > 4200 g	18,202 (4.9%)	1,879 (5.8%)	1,751 (5.5%)	1,905 (5.6%)	2,139 (5.7%)	2,083 (5.4%)	2,165 (5.5%)	1,971 (4.9%)	1,633 (4.2%)	1,303 (3.5%)	1,373 (3.7%)
Data is n (%)											

in this mode of assessment has been highlighted in past and more recent studies [27, 28]. The increase in IOL for both diabetes and LGA may be impacting on changes in two other variables, gestation at birth and infant weight, The percentage of infants born between 37 and 38 weeks increased noticeably after 2015-2016 period, as the number of infants born with a weight greater than 4,200 g decreased. Studies exploring the effect of early term induction (38 weeks) on childhood development have reported lower school performance with planned vaginal, either spontaneous or induced, birth at 40 weeks onwards [29]. An objective of glycaemic control and IOL for suspected LGA is to reduce the risk of LGA and subsequent complications such as shoulder dystocia. Only one randomised trial of IOL versus expectant management demonstrated a statistically significant reduction in shoulder dystocia. Observational studies have not reported an association between early IOL and a reduction in shoulder dystocia though did report an increase in CS associated with IOL [30, 31]. Other longitudinal studies of IOL for LGA also demonstrated significantly reduced birthweight in the IOL cohorts compared to expectant management associated with increased hospitalisations and special needs to age 8 years [32].

Across the 20 year study period the rate of intrapartum CS remained relatively stable in both nulliparous and multiparous women. This suggests that the increase in CS reported in both Queensland and national perinatal data are related predominantly to non-labour CS [6]. However study data indicated that more than one in five nulliparous women with a term singleton cephalic pregnancy and planning vaginal birth experienced a CS. Previous studies have suggested that IOL, epidural/spinal use and augmentation increase the risk of CS in low-risk nulliparous women [33, 34]. In our dataset, while the rate of augmentation in nulliparous women was 50% this remained stable over the study period though rates of IOL and epidural rates increased. The ARRIVE trial reported a lower CS rate with IOL compared to spontaneous onset though critiques of the research have cited the large number of women who declined to participate as a potential for selection bias raising questions regarding overall generalisability [17, 35]. Previous retrospective studies of data from a similar population to our study have reported that the CS rate was higher in the IOL group compared to spontaneous onset for women planning a vaginal birth [36].

An interesting outcome in our data was the substantial reduction in intact perinium and to a lesser extent first degree injury, in nulliparous women across the time period. This was concurrent with a similar increase in the rate of episiotomy. Over the study period there was some variation in the rate of severe perineal injury though this was not linear with the increase in episiotomy. The potential for episiotomy to be protective of severe perineal trauma in nulliparous remains contentious with recent RCTs and reviews questioning any benefit with the possible exception of assisted birth [37–39]. However the rise in episiotomy in nulliparous women was greater than the percent increase in assisted vaginal births. Recent rises in episiotomy use in Australia have been attributed to increased implementation of perineal protection bundles however associated reductions in severe perineal trauma have not been demonstrated in unassisted vaginal births [40].

A strength of our study is the access to a large dataset including all births over a 20 year period that met the study criteria for planned vaginal births. The data is validated by the Queensland Health Department SSB [41] prior to release which contributes to the high quality of the dataset with less than 0.03% missing data. Limitations of the study arise from the descriptive retrospective design that cannot demonstrate causation or association. The ICD-10 coding of the data relies on the responses from clinicians which may vary in accuracy and definitions applied to some variables. For example, it was evident in a very small number of cases when comparing codes for prolonged pregnancy to stated gestation at birth that, this did not always align suggesting some individual interpretation of the definition of prolonged pregnancy. Similarly, the potential for varying interpretations of free text entries, particularly those relating to IOL indications, may still occur.

Conclusion

This study has illustrated the demographics and clinical changes in a large population of women planning a vaginal birth at term over a 20 year period. Our findings have mapped changes in the birthing population such as age, country of birth and gestation at onset of labour along with substantial changes in the main indications for IOL including a collapse in the rates for prolonged pregnancy and a surge in IOL for diabetes. We conclude that for women planning a vaginal birth not only has the rate of IOL increased substantially over the last two decades there also appears to be considerable change in demographic, co-morbidity, IOL indications and clinical outcomes that warrants further large population-based research at their interaction.

Table 5 Trends in	1 clinical outcor	nes for multipa	rous planned vi	aginal births 20	01-2020						
	Total	2001-2002	2003-2004	2005-2006	2007-2008	2009-2010	2011-2012	2013-2014	2015-2016	2017-2018	2019-2020
	N=468,171	N=41,469	N=41,907	N=45,816	N=49,438	N=49,372	N=49,398	N=49,534	N=48,878	N=47,124	N=45,235
IOL	142,345 (30.4%)	12,152 (29.3%)	11,849 (28.3%)	12,559 (27.4%)	13,058 (26.4%)	12,921 (26.2%)	13,318 (27.0%)	14,024 (28.3%)	15,811 (32.3%)	18,176 (38.6%)	18,477 (40.8%)
Spontaneous onset of labour	325,826 (69.6%)	29,317 (70.7%)	30,058 (71.7%)	33,257 (72.6%)	36,380 (73.6%)	36,451 (73.8%)	36,080 (73.0%)	35,510 (71.7%)	33,067 (67.7%)	28,948 (61.4%)	26,758 (59.2%)
Augmentation (<i>n</i> = 325,826)	103,907 (31.9%)	11,704 (39.9%)	11,601 (38.6%)	12,061 (36.3%)	12,816 (35.2%)	11,088 (30.4%)	9,956 (27.6%)	9,714 (27.4%)	9,483 (28.7%)	8,388 (29.0%)	7,096 (26.5%)
Epidural analgesia	91,892 (19.6%)	6,267 (15.1%)	6,788 (16.2%)	7,462 (16.3%)	8,190 (16.6%)	8,718 (17.7%)	9,147 (18.5%)	9,914 (20.0%)	10,940 (22.4%)	11,860 (25.2%)	12,606 (27.9%)
Mode of birth											
Unassisted vagi- nal birth	410,691 (87.7%)	37,285 (89.9%)	37,328 (89.1%)	40,732 (88.9%)	43,405 (87.8%)	43,175 (87.4%)	43,180 (87.4%)	43,313 (87.4%)	42,471 (86.9%)	40,946 (86.9%)	38,856 (85.9%)
Assisted vaginal birth	21,083 (4.5%)	1,530 (3.7%)	1,571 (3.7%)	1,731 (3.8%)	2,072 (4.2%)	2,211 (4.5%)	2,433 (4.9%)	2,366 (4.8%)	2,416 (4.9%)	2,373 (5.0%)	2,380 (5.3%)
Caesarean section	36,397 (7.8%)	2,654 (6.4%)	3,008 (7.2%)	3,353 (7.3%)	3,961 (8.0%)	3,986 (8.1%)	3,785 (7.7%)	3,855 (7.8%)	3,991 (8.2%)	3,805 (8.1%)	3,999 (8.8%)
Perineal status ($n = 4$	131,645)										
Intact	175,733 (40.7%)	18,657 (48.1%)	18,669 (48.0%)	20,714 (48.8%)	19,520 (42.9%)	17,687 (39.0%)	17,857 (39.2%)	18,108 (39.7%)	16,442 (36.6%)	14,820 (34.2%)	13,259 (32.2%)
1 st degree	128,126 (29.7%)	10,806 (27.8%)	10,738 (27.6%)	11,458 (27.0%)	14,060 (30.9%)	14,920 (32.9%)	14,381 (31.6%)	13,088 (28.7%)	13,059 (29.1%)	13,155 (30.4%)	12,461 (30.2%)
2nd degree	98,895 (22.9%)	6,850 (17.6%)	6,959 (17.9%)	7,875 (18.5%)	9,287 (20.4%)	10,059 (22.2%)	10,544 (23.1%)	11,416 (25.0%)	12,030 (26.8%)	11,908 (27.5%)	11,967 (29.0%)
3rd /4th degree	4,081 (0.9%)	272 (0.7%)	268 (0.7%)	253 (0.6%)	381 (0.8%)	416 (0.9%)	493 (1.1%)	499 (1.1%)	547 (1.2%)	490 (1.1%)	462 (1.1%)
Episiotomy	24,810 (5.7%)	2,229 (5.7%)	2,264 (5.8%)	2,162 (5.1%)	2,227 (4.9%)	2,289 (5.0%)	2,306 (5.1%)	2,548 (5.6%)	2,791 (6.2%)	2,929 (6.8%)	3,065 (7.4%)
Infant birth weight > 4200 g	36,259 (7.7%)	3,603 (8.7%)	3,604 (8.6%)	3,755 (8.2%)	4,142 (8.4%)	4,192 (8.5%)	4,187 (8.5%)	3,867 (7.8%)	3,440 (7.0%)	2,850 (6.0%)	2,619 (5.8%)
Data is n (%)											

0000 1000 _ ÷ 4 Ui oilu

Abbreviations

ASCCSS	Australian Standard Classification of Countries for Social Statistics
СоВ	Country of Birth
CS	Caesarean section
HELLP	Haemolysis, Elevated Liver enzymes and Low Platelets
ICD-10	International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision
IOL	Induction of Labour
LGA	Large for Gestational Age
PDC	Perinatal Data Collection
SAC	Standard Australian Classification of Countries
SGA	Large for Gestational Age
SSB	Statistical Services Branch

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.or g/10.1186/s12884-025-07379-5.

Supplementary Material 1

Supplementary Material 2

Supplementary Material 3

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Author contributions

NL was the lead researcher who collated and analysed the data and then drafted the paper. EB provided detailed support and guidance with the statistical analysis of data and reviewed the manuscript. TH contributed to the development of the research protocol, gave guidance about the analysis and interpretation and contributed to drafting and reviewing the paper.

Funding

Dr Nigel Lee is supported by a NHMRC Emerging Leadership Fellowship (EL1).

Data availability

The datasets generated for the current study are not publicly available due access restrictions required by the Queensland Perinatal Dataset Data Custodians. Analysed data are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethical approval

Ethical approval and waiver of consent was provided by the University of Queensland Human Research Ethics Committee (2021/HE002382).

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: 17 May 2024 / Accepted: 25 February 2025 Published online: 26 March 2025

References

- Negrini R, da Silva Ferreira RD, Guimarães DZ. Value-based care in obstetrics: comparison between vaginal birth and caesarean section. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2021;21(1):333.
- Lagrew DC, et al. National partnership for maternal safety: consensus bundle on safe reduction of primary cesarean births-supporting intended vaginal births. Obstet Gynecol. 2018;131(3):503–13.
- Sandall J, et al. Short-term and long-term effects of caesarean section on the health of women and children. Lancet. 2018;392(10155):1349–57.
- 4. Osterman MJK, et al. Births: final data for 2021. Natl Vital Stat Rep. 2023;72(1):1–53.

- NHSDigital. NHS maternity statistics, England–2021-22. Editor: NHS Maternity Statistics; 2022.
- 6. Australian Institute of Health and Welfare, Australia's mothers and babies. 2023, Australian Government.
- O'Sullivan C, Wilson E, Beckmann M. Five-year trends in induction of labour in a large Australian metropolitan maternity service. Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol. 2022;62(3):407–12.
- Swift EM, et al. Trends in labor induction indications: a 20-year populationbased study. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand. 2022;101(12):1422–30.
- Seijmonsbergen-Schermers AE, et al. Variations in use of childbirth interventions in 13 high-income countries: a multinational cross-sectional study. PLoS Med. 2020;17(5):e1003103.
- 10. Kornelsen J, Moola S, Grzybowski S. Does distance matter? Increased induction rates for rural women who have to travel for intrapartum care. J Obstet Gynaecol Can. 2009;31(1):21–7.
- Prosser SJ, Barnett AG, Miller YD. Factors promoting or inhibiting normal birth. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2018;18(1):241.
- 12. Dupont C, et al. Dissatisfaction of women with induction of labour according to parity: results of a population-based cohort study. Midwifery. 2020;84:102663.
- Swift EM, et al. Obstetric interventions, trends, and drivers of change: a 20-year population-based study from Iceland. Birth. 2018;45(4):368–76.
- Denona B, et al. Discrimination by parity is a prerequisite for assessing induction of labour outcome – cross-sectional study. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2020;20(1):709.
- 15. Australian Bureau of Statistics. Australia's population by country of birth. ABS: Canberra; 2022.
- The HAPO Study Cooperative Research Group. Hyperglycemia and adverse pregnancy outcomes. N Engl J Med. 2008;358(19):1991–2002.
- 17. Grobman WA, et al. Labor induction versus expectant management in lowrisk nulliparous women. N Engl J Med. 2018;379(6):513–23.
- Keulen JKJ et al. Induction of labour at 41 weeks versus expectant management until 42 weeks (INDEX): multicentre, randomised non-inferiority trial. BMJ: Br Med J (Online), 2019;364.
- Wennerholm U-B et al. Induction of labour at 41 weeks versus expectant management and induction of labour at 42 weeks (SWEdish Post-term induction study, SWEPIS): multicentre, open label, randomised, superiority trial. BMJ: Br Med J (Online). 2019;367.
- 20. Gilroy LC, et al. Changes in obstetrical practices and pregnancy outcomes following the ARRIVE trial. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2022;226(5):716. e1-716. e12.
- 21. Coates D, et al. Induction of labour indications and timing: a systematic analysis of clinical guidelines. Women Birth. 2020;33(3):219–30.
- Laurie JG, McIntyre HD. A review of the current status of gestational diabetes mellitus in Australia-The clinical impact of changing population demographics and diagnostic criteria on prevalence. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020;17(24).
- 23. Alwash SM, et al. Time trends and projections in the prevalence of gestational diabetes mellitus in Queensland, Australia, 2009–2030: evidence from the Queensland perinatal data collection. Australian and New Zealand Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology; 2023.
- 24. Mnatzaganian G, et al. Trends in percentages of gestational diabetes mellitus attributable to overweight, obesity, and morbid obesity in regional Victoria: an eight-year population-based panel study. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2022;22(1):95.
- 25. Lamri A et al. The genetic risk of gestational diabetes in South Asian women. Elife. 2022;11.
- 26. Guidelines QC. Induction of labour. Guideline no. MN22.22-V9-R27. Editor: Queensland Health; 2022.
- 27. Krispin E, et al. Significant deviations in sonographic fetal weight estimation: causes and implications. Arch Gynecol Obstet. 2020;302(6):1339–44.
- Milner J, Arezina J. The accuracy of ultrasound estimation of fetal weight in comparison to birth weight: a systematic review. Ultrasound. 2018;26(1):32–41.
- 29. Burger RJ, et al. Offspring school performance at age 12 after induction of labor vs non-intervention at term: a linked cohort study. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand. 2023;102(4):486–95.
- Vitner D, et al. Induction of labor versus expectant management among women with macrosomic neonates: a retrospective study. J Maternal-Fetal Neonatal Med. 2020;33(11):1831–9.
- Moldeus K, et al. Induction of labor versus expectant management of large-for-gestational-age infants in nulliparous women. PLoS ONE. 2017;12(7):e0180748.

- 33. Panda S, et al. Factors associated with cesarean birth in nulliparous women: a multicenter prospective cohort study. Birth. 2022;49(4):812–22.
- lobst SE, et al. Associations among intrapartum interventions and cesarean birth in low-risk nulliparous women with spontaneous onset of labor. J Midwifery Women's Health. 2020;65(1):142–8.
- 35. Carmichael SL, Snowden JM. The ARRIVE trial: interpretation from an epidemiologic perspective. J Midwifery Women's Health. 2019;64(5):657–63.
- Mahomed K, Pungsornruk K, Gibbons K. Induction of labour for postdates in nulliparous women with uncomplicated pregnancy – is the caesarean section rate really lower? J Obstet Gynaecol. 2016;36(7):916–20.
- 37. Sagi-Dain L, et al. Is it time to abandon episiotomy use? A randomized controlled trial (EPITRIAL). Int Urogynecol J. 2020;31:2377–85.
- Pereira GMV, et al. Selective episiotomy versus no episiotomy for severe perineal trauma: a systematic review with meta-analysis. Int Urogynecol J. 2020;31:2291–9.

- 39. Perrin A, et al. Effectiveness of episiotomy to prevent OASIS in nulliparous women at term. Int J Gynecol Obstet. 2023;162(2):632–8.
- 40. Lee N, et al. A pre-post implementation study of a care bundle to reduce perineal trauma in unassisted births conducted by midwives. Women and Birth; 2023.
- 41. Queensland, Health. Data quality Statement Comprehensive, Queensland perinatal data collection. Editor: Statistical Services Branch; 2021.

Publisher's note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.